

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 COREY JOHNSON,

9 Petitioner

10 v.

11 STATE OF NEVADA,

12 Respondents
13

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01389-RFB-EJY

ORDER

14 On September 3, 2020, this Court dismissed Petitioner Corey Johnson's *pro se*
15 petition for writ of habeas corpus as improperly commenced because he failed to submit
16 an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the filing fee (ECF No. 4). 28 U.S.C. §
17 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR1-2. Judgment was entered (ECF No. 5).

18 Also on September 3, 2020 Johnson filed an application to proceed *in forma*
19 *pauperis*, and he filed another one the following day reflecting that he would be eligible
20 for *in forma pauperis* status (ECF Nos. 6, 8). However, the other deficiencies that the
21 court noted in its previous Order remain, namely, that the claims in the petition appear to
22 be unexhausted and that his challenges to the revocation of parole do not state claims
23 for which federal habeas relief may be granted. See Nettles v. Grounds, 830 F.3d 922,

1 935 (9th Cir. 2016) (in order to state a cognizable habeas corpus claim, success on such
2 claim must necessarily lead to speedier release).¹ Accordingly, Johnson's duplicate
3 applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* are denied as moot in this closed case.

4 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Johnson's two applications to proceed *in*
5 *forma pauperis* (ECF Nos. 6 and 8) are both **DENIED**.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is denied.
7
8

9 Dated: September 8, 2020

10
11 
12 RICHARD E. BOULWARE, II
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 ¹ While unclear, it may be that Johnson seeks to challenge his parole proceedings as having violated his due process rights, which may implicate his rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.